

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

WILLIAM B. AOSSEY, JR.,

Defendant.

No. 14-CR-116-LRR

**PRELIMINARY SUPPLEMENTAL  
JURY INSTRUCTIONS**

---

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury:

In view of your verdicts that the defendant is guilty of wire fraud, you have one more task to perform. I must ask you to render special verdicts concerning property the government alleges is subject to forfeiture by the defendant to the government.

## **PRELIMINARY SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTION NO. 1**

Forfeiture means the defendant loses any ownership or interest he has or claims to have in the property. The government alleges that certain properties should be forfeited because they constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds of wire fraud for which you have found the defendant guilty.

## **PRELIMINARY SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTION NO. 2**

It is the government's burden to establish the forfeitability of any piece of property by a preponderance of the evidence. To establish something by a "preponderance of the evidence" means to prove that something is more likely true than not true.

### PRELIMINARY SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTION NO. 3

The forfeiture proceeding will be conducted as follows:

In a moment, the government may present evidence and argument on the forfeiture allegations. The defendant will also have the opportunity to present evidence and argument. After the arguments and evidence have been received, I will give you additional instructions and you will retire to deliberate on your special verdict.

*Uda S. Sade Judge*  
*July 13, 2015*